1 Chapter 3, *Naturalized Parrots of the World*, Stephen Pruet-Jones, ed.

2

3 Genetics of Invasive Parrot Populations

4

5 Michael A. Russello, Grace Smith-Vidaurre, and Timothy F. Wright
6

7 Introduction

8 Since publication of The Genetics of Colonizing Species (Baker and Stebbins 1965), genetics has 9 played a key role in the study of invasive species, both from the standpoint of using such systems for investigating ecological and evolutionary principles related to colonization of novel 10 11 environments as well as for informing management strategies. Documenting the population 12 genetics of invasive lineages has been instrumental for testing evolutionary theory related to 13 adaptation and population bottlenecks, reconstructing the complex history of biological invasions 14 to provide insights on the invasion process, and, more recently, uncovering the genetic basis of 15 features associated with invasion success (Barrett 2015). The sheer impact of invasion genetics over this period was recently highlighted by a symposium marking the 50th anniversary of the 16 seminal work that covered the current state of the field, which was subsequently communicated 17 in a special issue of Molecular Ecology (2015) as well as an expanded edited volume (Barrett 18 19 2015). Aided by our ever-improving ability to mine the genomes of living organisms, such work 20 has been carried out over a wide taxonomic breadth, from zooplankton, terrestrial plants and fungi, to fish, amphibians, reptiles, birds and mammals. Despite the relative frequency with 21 22 which psittacines invade new habitats (Joseph, 2014), only a limited number of invasion genetics

23 studies have been conducted in parrots. These have largely focused on understanding the factors 24 contributing to invasion success, namely investigating the source(s) of introduction, identifying 25 the factors involved in the establishment of self-sustaining populations, and reconstructing 26 dispersal pathways and modes of spread (Edelaar et al. 2015; Goncalves da Silva et al. 2010; 27 Jackson et al. 2015a; Jackson et al. 2015b; Russello et al. 2008). While these studies have 28 provided new insights into these topics in a select number of species, the relative dearth of 29 studies on the genetics of invasive parrot species represents an open challenge for investigators 30 seeking to understand how and why parrots are so successful at colonizing new habitats. 31 In this chapter, we will first summarize the broader literature regarding how genetic 32 information can be used for studying the origin(s), establishment and spread of invasive species, 33 with an emphasis on avian invaders. We will then review recent studies demonstrating the utility 34 of genetics for enhancing our understanding of parrot population history, structure and behavior; 35 these provide an important baseline for understanding genetic patterns in invasive parrots. This 36 section is followed by a case study of monk parakeets (Myiopsitta monachus), arguably the most 37 intensively studied parrot species with regard to the genetics of invasion success. We conclude 38 with a discussion of future directions afforded by genomic technologies that could provide 39 deeper insights into genetic and epigenetic mechanisms of invasion success as well as enhance 40 our toolkit for monitoring and management of invasive species.

41

42 Genetics of Invasion History

43 Genetic information has proven instrumental in studying all phases of the invasion process,

44 including origin(s), establishment and spread of invasive species (Sakai et al. 2001). All of these

45 features are critical for improving our understanding of the ecological and evolutionary

46 mechanisms underlying invasion success. As such, molecular approaches have allowed us to
47 identify the: 1) native source population(s) and number of introductions from each source
48 (Lockwood et al. 2005); 2) number of individuals introduced from each event; and 3) dynamics
49 of expansion following establishment (Cristescu 2015; Estoup and Guillemaud 2010). Such
50 information is critical for understanding how invasions occur, which in turn can inform strategies
51 for prevention, eradication and/or containment (Mack et al. 2000).

52

53 Origin: source and number of introductions

54 The number and origin(s) of source populations are key considerations for reconstructing 55 invasion history. For example, multiple introductions have been frequently correlated with the 56 success of invasive species' establishment and spread (Barrett and Husband 1990; Sakai et al. 57 2001). Indeed, two of North America's most successful invasive bird species, European starlings 58 (Sturnus vulgaris) and house sparrows (Passer domesticus), became established only after 59 repeated introductions (Ehrlich 1989). For parrots, genetic reconstructions of the number and 60 origin(s) of source populations have been conducted for monk parakeets (reviewed below) and 61 rose-ringed parakeets (Psittacula krameria). Native to Asia and sub-Saharan Africa, rose-ringed 62 parakeets have established self-sustaining breeding populations in over 35 countries (Lever 63 2005) and are considered one of Europe's top 100 worst alien species (DAISIE European Invasive Alien Species Gateway 2008). Using mitochondrial DNA haplotypic and nuclear 64 65 microsatellite genotypic data from across the native and invasive ranges, Jackson et al. (2015b) identified native populations in Asia, specifically from Pakistan and northern India, as the 66 67 predominant source for invasive populations in Europe and on islands in the Indian Ocean 68 (Mauritius and Seychelles; Figure 1A-D). Moreover, the most common haplotypes in the

69 invasive populations in Europe were those found in the more northerly native populations where 70 rose-ringed parakeets naturally tolerate colder parts of their range (Figure 1A-B), leading the 71 authors to hypothesize that cold tolerance may be a factor in their establishment success (Jackson 72 et al. 2015b). Interestingly, a number of haplotypes (n = 30) were identified in the invasive range that were not previously detected in the native range. This disparity was most likely due to 73 74 unequal sampling (96 native vs. 696 invasive samples). Yet, in tandem with results based on 75 nuclear genotypic data, the authors also suggested this pattern could be attributed to admixture between invasive rose-ringed parakeets from widely distributed sources in Asia and Africa 76 77 (Figure 1D) (Jackson et al. 2015b). Admixture between divergent genotypes originating from 78 different source populations have been found in other systems (reviewed in Dlugosch and Parker 79 2008), offering the potential for positive genetic interactions among previously isolated alleles 80 and for adaptive evolution that can increase fitness (Keller and Taylor 2010; Kolbe et al. 2004), 81 although such benefits may be constrained by negative genetic interactions (Barker et al. 2018; 82 Bertelsmeier and Keller 2018).

83

84 FIGURE 1 HERE

85

86 *Establishment: propagule pressure*

87 In addition to identifying the geographic location(s) and number of source populations, the

88 number of individuals per introduction event is a key consideration in the ultimate establishment

89 of self-sustaining breeding populations outside a species' native range. Together with the number

90 of independent introductions, the number of individuals introduced is collectively known as

91 propagule pressure (Carlton 1996). This has been a particularly important factor in the

92 establishment success of invasive birds (Blackburn and Duncan 2001). The simultaneous 93 introduction of many individuals has been shown to buffer against genetic bottlenecks 94 (Simberloff 2009), with some introduced populations having levels of genetic variation similar to 95 or higher than native populations (Kolbe et al. 2004). As a result, propagule pressure may help guard against the effects of demographic stochasticity (Lockwood et al. 2005). For parrots, 96 97 propagule pressure exerted by the legal and illegal pet trade has been implicated in their 98 successful establishment outside of their native range (Cassev et al. 2004). For example, most 99 rose-ringed parakeet populations in Europe likely resulted from intentional or unintentional 100 release of caged birds (Strubbe and Matthysen 2009). Subsequent genetic investigation revealed 101 that the relative proportion of Asian vs. African-origin birds were consistent with European 102 importation records further implicating the pet trade as a source of propagule pressure (Jackson 103 et al. 2015b), similar to what has been found for monk parakeets (see below).

104

105 Spread: dynamics of geographical expansion

106 Once non-native individuals have been introduced and established, genetic studies can 107 reconstruct the dynamics of geographical expansion. Although multiple introductions of invasive 108 species has been demonstrated to be a common phenomenon, including for many of the 109 examples above, an alternative scenario for expansion has been shown by way of widespread secondary invasions from a particularly successful invasive population that acts as a source for 110 111 establishing populations in new areas ("invasive bridgehead effect"; Estoup and Guillemaud 112 2010). A host of genetic studies have demonstrated the invasive bridgehead effect in a range of 113 species including the harlequin ladybeetle (Harmonia axyridis; Lombaert et al. 2010), Western 114 corn rootworm (Diabrotica virgifera virgifera; Miller et al. 2005), Colorado potato beetle

115 (Leptinotarsa decemlineata; Grapputo et al. 2005), American bullfrog (Rana catesbeiana; 116 Ficetola et al. 2008) and European green crab (Carcinus maenas; Darling et al. 2008). Although 117 to our knowledge this phenomenon has not been demonstrated genetically in invasive parrots, 118 house sparrows do appear to have expanded due to the invasive bridgehead effect. Using nuclear 119 microsatellite genotypic data across the native and invasive ranges, Schrey et al. (2011) found 120 that introduced North American populations of house sparrows likely originated from only a few 121 sources, and that on-going gene flow is occurring in the invasive range. It is important to note 122 that this house sparrow study was not explicitly designed to test the invasive bridgehead effect, 123 but future work could employ genetic data and approximate Bayesian computation methods to 124 evaluate different invasion scenarios. Given the potential for the self-accelerating process 125 whereby invasion begets invasion, some authors recommend heightened vigilance against 126 invasive bridgehead populations (Bertelsmeier and Keller 2018; Lombaert et al. 2010). We argue 127 that, at the very least, genetic studies examining this and other invasion scenarios are warranted in other systems, including for volant species with high dispersal capacity, such as parrots. 128 129

130 Genetic Variation and Structure in Natural Parrot Populations

Given the dearth of studies of parrots within their naturalized ranges, understanding patterns of genetic variation in the native range may represent the best alternative for inferring how invasion shapes the genetics of naturalized parrots. As these native populations are typically the source of individuals that eventually establish naturalized populations through the invasion process, genetic patterns of native populations provide an informative baseline for the degree of genetic variation and structure that might be expected in the naturalized range. For example, if source populations typically have low levels of genetic variability, then low levels of variability would

138 be expected in the derived naturalized populations, which might limit their potential for 139 evolutionary responses to changing selection regimes in their new range. There might also be 140 interesting interactions between the genetic patterns in the native range population and the 141 manner in which individuals are captured for the pet trade that would impact genetic patterns in 142 naturalized populations. For example, if natural populations tend to be highly structured, with 143 most of the genetic variability occurring between isolated populations, and naturalized 144 populations are drawn from only one of these populations (i.e. if the pet trade sources from only 145 one part of the native range), then naturalized populations would tend to be genetically 146 homogeneous. On the other hand, if sourcing of individuals for the pet trade was more 147 geographically widespread, then admixture between sources might result in any given naturalized population having more variability than a single native population (e.g. rose-ringed 148 149 parakeets: Jackson et al. 2015b).

150 In this section, we review studies of the population genetics of wild parrot populations to 151 address the following questions: 1) how structured is genetic variation in wild parrot populations; 152 2) at what scale is this structure observed; 3) what does this tell us about gene flow among parrot 153 populations; and 4) how genetically diverse are typical parrot populations? It is important to note 154 at the outset that many (but by no means all) studies of population genetics in parrots have been 155 motivated by conservation concerns for the species studied. This focus could potentially 156 introduce a bias, because species of conservation concern tend to have small and declining 157 populations, and are less genetically diverse than non-threatened populations due to genetic drift. 158 Conclusions about genetic diversity and degree of structure might also be influenced by the geographic scale and intensity of sampling of a particular study. Consequently, we organize our 159 160 review below by geographic scale, focusing first on range-wide studies of genetic structure, then

on studies of variation among populations on a smaller scale, and finally with studies of geneticdiversity within populations.

163

164 *Phylogeography and cryptic diversity*

Studies of phylogeography typically examine patterns of genetic variation across large parts of a 165 166 species range or among members of a closely-related species complex. They may have as their goal a better understanding of the evolutionary history of a species, or of relationships among 167 component subspecies. Another common goal of studies at this scale is the detection of cryptic 168 169 species, that is, subspecies or populations within a species that are sufficiently differentiated 170 from other such populations that they warrant full species status. Such studies often have 171 conservation implications since levels of endangerment and threat are largely determined at the 172 species level; elevating a rare or declining subspecies to full species level can, in theory, quickly lead to a change in its conservation status and the protections afforded to it. 173

174 A number of phylogeographic studies have focused on species in the Neotropics. Among 175 the first was Eberhard and Bermingham's (2004) study of the yellow-headed amazon species 176 complex, which consist of three closely related taxa variously described as subspecies of 177 Amazona ochrocephala or as the full species A. ochrocephala, A. oratrix, and A. auropalliata. Using mitochondrial and nuclear DNA sequence data, the authors found distinct differences 178 between the previously identified subspecies A. oratrix and A. auropalliata that occur in 179 180 Mesoamerica (Mexico and Central America) and between those lineages and the South 181 American (sub)species A. ochrocephala. In contrast, within South America they found less 182 genetic structure in A. ochrocephala sampled across the Amazon basin, as well as evidence of 183 introgression between this species and a closely related congener, A. aestiva (Eberhard and

184 Bermingham 2004). Another study conducted at the same scale was Wenner et al.'s (2012) investigation of cryptic speciation in the mealy amazon, A. farinosa. These authors also used 185 genetic data from both mitochondrial and nuclear DNA to identify deep divisions between 186 187 Central and South American subspecies and more recent divergences between pairs of 188 subspecies found within each of these regions (Wenner et al. 2012). This study led to the 189 reclassification of the two subspecies to full species status. Similarly, Masello et al.'s (2011) 190 study of the burrowing parrot, Cyanoliseus patagonus, used mitochondrial DNA sequences from 191 several loci to examine the degree of differentiation among four subspecies, three found east of 192 the Andes, ranging from northern to central Argentina, and one west of the Andes in central 193 Chile. They found strong differentiation across the Andes, and evidence of genetic structure 194 between two of the subspecies to the east of the Andes, while the third subspecies appeared to 195 consist of a long-standing hybrid zone between the other two (Masello et al. 2011). 196 Studies of two other parrot species examined variation across the Amazon Basin and 197 neighboring areas of central South America. In both cases, these studies found contrasting 198 patterns in maternally-inherited mitochondrial DNA sequences versus biparentally-inherited 199 nuclear microsatellite markers. In the turquoise-fronted amazon, A. aestiva, mitochondrial DNA 200 sequences detected distinct variation among two subspecies found in the northeastern and 201 southwestern portions of the species range, while nuclear microsatellites showed only weak differentiation across the entire range (Caparroz et al. 2009b; Leite et al. 2008). Likewise, a 202 203 study of the blue and yellow macaw, Ara ararauna, in the same geographic region detected 204 structure between eastern and western populations using mitochondrial DNA sequences, while 205 the nuclear microsatellite data did not reveal any structure (Caparroz et al. 2009a).

206 Several studies have examined the degree of differentiation between disjunct populations 207 of species distributed across either true islands, or isolated islands of suitable habitat. A series of 208 studies have examined genetic structure in the highly endangered hyacinth macaw,

209 Anodorhynchus hyacinthinus, of Brazil. The first used nuclear and mitochondrial DNA markers 210 to test for genetic differentiation between two populations located 100 km apart in the Pantanal region and a third disjunct population in the Gerais region (Faria et al. 2008). The authors found 211 no evidence of differentiation between the two populations in the Pantanal, but significant 212 213 differences were found between those populations and the disjunct population in Gerais using 214 nuclear microsatellites. A more recent study expanded the scale of sampling within the Pantanal 215 region and added sampling from a third disjunct region in eastern Amazonia (Presti et al. 2015). This work confirmed the previous finding of significant differentiation between the Gerais and 216 217 Pantanal regions, but lower differentiation between the disjunct Gerais and eastern Amazonia 218 regions. The authors also detected significant differentiation between populations in the north 219 and south of the Pantanal region located about 700 km apart, a result that was unexpected given 220 the lack of an obvious barrier to dispersal between populations within the Pantanal. Overall, the level of genetic diversity exhibited by hyacinth macaws was considered low (average observed 221 222 heterozygosity = 0.35); a related study found that levels of genetic diversity in this species were 223 lower than those found in two other macaw species (Faria and Miyaki 2006). Surprisingly, there was no suggestion in these data that the three disjunct populations represented either true or 224 225 incipient species, perhaps either because of relatively recent isolation of the disjunct populations 226 or because of ongoing gene flow. In contrast, Russello et al.'s (2010) study of the Cuban amazon 227 (A. leucocephala) species complex did detect evidence for cryptic diversity among island 228 populations within the Bahamas and across the Greater Antilles. Using both mitochondrial DNA

229 and nuclear microsatellite data, the authors found evidence for the genetic distinctiveness of the 230 previously identified subspecies found on the islands of Cuba, Grand Cayman, and Cayman 231 Brac, and also showed previously unrecognized differences among populations on three different 232 islands in the Bahamas (Russello et al. 2010). 233 There have been fewer such studies outside the Neotropics, but those that have been 234 conducted typically show similar patterns to those seen in the Americas. A study of the declining 235 eastern ground parrot (*Pezoporus wallicus*) in Australia found deep genetic divisions between 236 eastern and western populations that are found on different sides of the continent and are 237 currently separated by more than 1500 km of inhospitable habitat (Murphy et al. 2011). A recent 238 study by Jackson et al. (2015a) examined the evolutionary relationships among extant and extinct 239 species in the genus *Psittacula* from Madagascar and surrounding islands in the western Indian 240 Ocean. They found that most of the populations endemic to various islands had diverged to the 241 level of distinct species, indicating low levels of gene flow after initial colonization. Interestingly, the phylogenetic diversity of this region initially decreased with European 242 243 colonization and subsequent extinctions, but was partially restored by another human-mediated 244 influence, namely the introduction of the invasive rose-ringed parakeet (Jackson et al. 2015a). 245 Finally, a recent study of the red-tailed black cockatoo (*Calvptorhychus banksii*) is one of the 246 first to combine the power of genome-wide sampling of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP's) with the expanded sampling provided by museum specimens (Ewart et al. 2019) to 247 248 examine phylogeographic questions. The authors examined variation in samples from across this 249 species' continent-wide range in Australia and detected robust geographic structure among 250 different regions that only partially corresponded to established subspecies boundaries (Ewart et 251 al. 2019).

252 Four general patterns have emerged from these studies of phylogeography. The first is 253 that populations are often structured over large geographic scales, such as between Central 254 American and South American populations, among islands in the Caribbean Sea or Indian 255 Ocean, or on opposite sides of the continents of South America or Australia. Such divisions often 256 correspond to contemporary barriers to dispersal like mountains, oceans, or inhospitable habitats, or to past barriers created by Pleistocene climate shifts and sea level changes. The second general 257 258 pattern to emerge is that these divisions often correspond to subspecies boundaries previously 259 identified using plumage and morphology. Examples include studies of the mealy amazon and 260 the turquoise-fronted amazon, which identified genetic differences between some preexisting 261 subspecies (Caparroz et al. 2009b; Wenner et al. 2012). In many cases, confirmation of these 262 evolutionary differences has directly impacted the conservation status of the taxa in question. In 263 other cases, there are more complex patterns identified, such as where secondary hybridization 264 and introgression has reduced historically-present genetic differences in the burrowing parrot (Masello et al. 2011) or the yellow-headed amazon A. ochrocephala/A. aestiva species complex 265 266 (Eberhard and Bermingham 2004; Leite et al. 2008). More rarely, such studies identify true 267 cryptic diversity among populations that have not previously been identified as genetically 268 distinct; a prime example is Russello et al.'s (2010) study that found consistent differences 269 among populations on three different islands in the Bahamas, one of which had already become 270 extinct at the time of the study. The third general pattern is that less structure is apparent between 271 populations sampled at smaller scales than species-wide, suggesting that dispersal-mediated gene 272 flow is relatively strong in parrots, a point that is reinforced by studies in the next section. The fourth general pattern is that structure is more likely to be detected with some types of genetic 273 274 markers than others. In particular, mitochondrial DNA, with its maternal transmission, has a

275 smaller effective population size than bi-parentally inherited and recombining nuclear autosomal 276 markers such as microsatellites, which can lead to a greater degree of structuring at mitochondrial DNA. This structure can be further compounded if males tend to disperse and 277 278 females are more philopatric, leading to less mixing of mitochondrial DNA haplotypes. Both 279 factors may have been at play in the studies of the blue and yellow macaw and turquoise-fronted 280 amazon that found more structure using mitochondrial DNA sequences than microsatellite alleles (Caparroz et al. 2009a; Caparroz et al. 2009b; Leite et al. 2008). These results have interesting 281 282 implications for naturalized populations of parrots. In particular, the suggestion that dispersal-283 mediated gene flow is high in many species reinforces the idea that parrots are candidates for the 284 invasive bridgehead effect described above, in which initial founding populations give rise to 285 many dispersed naturalized populations.

286

287 *Population structure*

While the distinction between studies of phylogeography and population structure is somewhat 288 289 arbitrary, there have been a number of studies in parrots that have focused on investigating the degree of genetic structure among populations on a smaller scale than range-wide. Typically, 290 291 these studies focus on inferring gene flow between populations that are hypothesized to be 292 isolated either behaviorally or through habitat fragmentation. Among the first of such studies were two by Wright and colleagues (2001, 2005) that employed both mitochondrial DNA 293 294 sequences and nuclear microsatellites to examine genetic structure among populations of the 295 yellow-naped amazon (A. auropalliata) in Costa Rica distributed over a 100 km span. The 296 primary focus of these studies was testing whether behavioral differences represented by two 297 vocal dialects in learned contact calls corresponded to differences at neutral genetic markers.

Both types of markers found no evidence of genetic differences across dialect boundaries, and instead indicated genetic panmixia among populations at this scale (Wright et al. 2005; Wright and Wilkinson 2001).

301 A number of studies have examined the effects of human-induced habitat fragmentation 302 on gene flow and population genetic structure. A study of the threatened red-tailed amazon, A. 303 brasilensis, found no evidence of greater DNA fingerprint band sharing within than between two 304 populations in Brazil (Caparroz et al. 2006). This result suggests that there is no genetic differentiation between these two populations separated by 100 km, despite extensive 305 306 fragmentation of this species' coastal Atlantic Forest habitat (Caparroz et al. 2006). A study of 307 the nomadic and critically endangered swift parrot, Lathamus discolor, examined the degree of 308 population structure among several populations nesting on different parts of Tasmania and 309 neighboring offshore islands (Stojanovic et al. 2018). Population genetic analyses based on 310 microsatellite genotypic data suggested panmixia of these populations despite extensive habitat 311 fragmentation; while the lack of inbreeding might be viewed as a positive for species 312 conservation, the authors point out that their results emphasize the need to treat the entire species 313 as a single conservation unit in population viability models (Stojanovic et al. 2018). Another 314 study from Australia examined genetic variation among populations of two species collectively 315 known as white-tailed black cockatoos, Calptorhynchus baudinii and C. latirostris, in the southwestern portion of mainland Australia (White et al. 2014). These two species have 316 317 undergone dramatic declines as this region has experienced extensive habitat fragmentation due 318 to clearing for intensive agriculture. The authors used both contemporary and historical samples 319 and a large panel of microsatellites to assess whether population isolation had led to genetic 320 differentiation. They found clear genetic differences between populations to the east and the west

321 of a large wheatbelt; interestingly, this geographic differentiation was much stronger that that 322 separating samples from the two putative species. The authors suggested that this geographic 323 differentiation was driven primarily by the loss of allelic diversity in the contemporary eastern 324 population following isolation from the western population (White et al. 2014). Finally, a study by Raisin and colleagues (2012) examined changes in genetic differentiation among populations 325 326 of the echo (or Mauritius) parakeet (*Psittacula echo*) prior to and following extensive translocations undertaken to maximize population growth of this highly-endangered island 327 endemic. They found that prior to translocations, the population most geographically isolated by 328 329 extensive habitat fragmentation was the most genetically distinct from other populations. Perhaps 330 unsurprisingly, this difference disappeared after individuals were translocated into this population. The authors suggested that loss of genetic structure was a beneficial, albeit 331 332 unintended, outcome of conservation efforts and that the new genetic panmixia likely mimicked 333 the uniform genetic structure of the species hypothesized to exist prior to human-mediated 334 habitat loss (Raisin et al. 2012).

To date, few papers have examined population structure of parrots in relativelyundisturbed settings. One exception is a study by Olah and colleagues (2017) of scarlet macaw (*Ara macao*) populations in the lowlands of the Peruvian Amazon. They used microsatellite markers to examine variation among samples collected at clay licks and nest sites from three populations along an 80 km stretch of the Tambopata river. They found weak, but statistically detectable differentiation among the three sites driven primarily by the higher elevation site that was separated from the others by a mountain ridge (Olah et al. 2017).

In summary, these studies suggest that, under undisturbed conditions, gene flow amongpopulations tends to be high in parrots with an absence of marked population structure. When

human actions lead to habitat fragmentation, however, gene flow can be reduced, leading to genetic differentiation among isolated populations. It should be noted, however, that most of these studies have focused on larger-bodied parrots with fairly general habitat preferences; it would be interesting to conduct similar studies in smaller-bodied parrots or habitat specialists, both of which might be expected to disperse less widely. These predictions could also be examined in naturalized species, which range in size from small lovebirds to large amazon parrots.

351

352 Genetic Diversity Within Populations

353 A handful of studies have examined the patterns of genetic variation within one or a few populations of parrots. Without exception these have all focused on endangered species in which 354 355 a substantial portion of the population is maintained in captivity, with the primary questions being how much genetic diversity remains in captive population(s) and how can it be maximized 356 357 via translocations or managed breeding. One of the earliest studies to use microsatellites to 358 characterize within-population genetic variation was conducted for the endangered St. Vincent 359 amazon (A. guildingii), where the authors found moderate levels of variation and detected two 360 subgroups characterized by a high and low degree of relatedness, respectively (Russello and 361 Amato 2004). This information directly led to recommendations of specific breeding pairs for 362 maximizing genetic diversity and minimizing inbreeding in this captive population of high 363 conservation value (Russello and Amato 2004). Another study, which collected microsatellite 364 and mitochondrial DNA data from two captive populations of the Cuban amazon (Amazona 365 *leucocephala*), found that the Zapata Swamp breeding population contained two distinct 366 lineages, one of which was also found in the other breeding population located in Managua

367 (Milian-Garcia et al. 2015). The authors hypothesized that the unique lineage in Zapata Swamp might represent individuals unintentionally introduced from the Cayman Brac subspecies of A. 368 369 *leucocephela*. As the population overall had moderately high levels of heterozygosity and low 370 levels of inbreeding, the authors suggested that these two lineages should be managed separately 371 until further data could be collected to better characterize their original sources. In contrast, a 372 study of the kakapo, Strigops habroptilus, found high levels of inbreeding and low heterozygosity as measured by microsatellites in this highly endangered island endemic species 373 (White et al. 2015). Notably, lower levels of heterozygosity in females were significantly 374 375 associated with lower rates of hatching and fecundity, suggesting that the genetic bottleneck 376 experienced by this species had led to inbreeding depression (White et al. 2015). 377 While the number of genetic studies within populations is small, they do suggest that 378 populations that undergo severe bottlenecks have the potential to experience deleterious effects 379 from inbreeding. This result is quite relevant to invasive or naturalized populations, as many 380 would be expected to undergo genetic bottlenecks due to founding events involving just a few

381 breeding individuals.

382

383 Genetics of Invasion Success: The Case of the Monk Parakeet

Monk parakeets (*Myiopsitta monachus*) have emerged as a model species in avian invasion genetics. Genetic studies have led to insights about where invasive monk parakeets originated, how populations were established in climatically distinct parts of the world, and how such populations may spread within the invasive range. Pinpointing source populations, a crucial step when asking questions about the ecology and evolution of invasive species, has been a primary focus of this research. Identifying invasive monk parakeets' original sources of genetic and

390 phenotypic variation is an undertaking that has employed broad-scale sampling across the native391 and invasive ranges, and tracked recent innovations in genetic tools.

392 Source populations for invasive monk parakeets were first assessed with mitochondrial 393 DNA by Russello et al. (2008), who characterized patterns of genetic similarity and structure 394 among invasive populations in the United States and native populations in South America. 395 Parakeets in the United States were most genetically similar to those in northern Argentina and 396 Uruguay, a narrow region of this species' native range that has been tapped heavily for the global pet trade (Russello et al. 2008). This study highlighted one of the first stages of the monk 397 398 parakeet invasion pathway, demonstrating that dispersal out of the native range was indeed 399 human-mediated and associated with the pet trade (Russello et al. 2008). A subsequent study, relying on both mitochondrial DNA and nuclear microsatellites, and additional sampling in the 400 401 native and invasive ranges, provided more evidence for northern Argentina and Uruguay as a 402 source region (Figure 1 A-E; Edelaar et al. 2015). Parakeets sampled from pet shops in Spain, 403 representing an intermediate step in the invasion process between initial dispersal out of the 404 native range and population establishment in the invasive range, were genetically similar to this 405 source region (Edelaar et al. 2015), further supporting the role of the global pet trade in monk 406 parakeet invasions (Figure 2D-E).

407

408 FIGURE 2 HERE

409

These studies have led to important insights about potential source populations and monk parakeets' invasion pathways. Some limitations remain, however, that are being addressed in ongoing research to more closely evaluate origins of invasive populations. First, while both

413 studies identified northern Argentina and Uruguay as a likely source region for invasive monk parakeets, sampling has been so far insufficient to identify South American source populations at 414 415 a finer geographic scale. The Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild 416 Fauna and Flora (CITES) documents Uruguay as the primary legal exporter of monk parakeets 417 from their native range (Convention on the International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild 418 Fauna and Flora 1973). Indeed, from 1975–2015, 97% of monk parakeets that were legally imported to Mexico originated in Uruguay (Hobson et al. 2017). However, previous genetic 419 420 sampling of monk parakeets in Uruguay has been sparse (Edelaar et al. 2015; Russello et al. 421 2008). Second, recent innovations in sequencing technology provide the means to sample 422 genomes to a much greater degree than ever before, returning thousands of SNPs across many individuals. Ongoing research is focused on extending geographic sampling to Uruguay and 423 424 broadening the sampling of individual genomes with restriction site-associated DNA sequencing 425 (RADseq) to shed additional light on source populations for invasive monk parakeets (Smith-Vidaurre et al. unpublished data). 426

427 Genetic studies with monk parakeets have also identified patterns of population genetic 428 structure that provide clues about population establishment. Evolutionary theory predicts that 429 species arriving in new habitats are vulnerable to genetic bottlenecks, or decreased genetic 430 diversity (Dlugosch and Parker 2008). The impact of such bottlenecks depends on the size and genetic variation of the founding population, and the length of time that the population remains 431 432 small (Nei et al. 1975). Stronger bottlenecks can increase inbreeding, potentially inhibiting 433 population resilience to novel selection pressures, such as disease or changing climates, and are 434 generally thought to impede establishment after introduction (Bock et al. 2015; Dlugosch and 435 Parker 2008). All genetic studies comparing invasive and native populations of monk parakeets

436 to date have found evidence of genetic bottlenecks associated with invasion (Edelaar et al. 2015; 437 Russello et al. 2008). Edelaar et al. (2015) identified decreasing genetic variation in concert with 438 increasing latitude, a pattern repeated across invasive populations in both the U.S. and Spain. 439 This pattern suggests either increasingly strong genetic bottlenecks with increasing latitude, or 440 alternatively, parallel adaptation to shared urban and climatic selection pressures (Edelaar et al. 441 2015). Some invasive populations show evidence of weaker genetic bottlenecks, such as the 442 Canary Islands, which could be due to many founders originating from a single genetically 443 diverse source population, admixture among multiple source populations after dispersal from the 444 native range, and/or weaker selection pressures in that part of the invasive range (e.g. less harsh 445 winters; Edelaar et al. 2015). Research is ongoing to better characterize genetic bottlenecks and 446 the possibility of adaptation to parallel selection pressures. Despite exhibiting genetic 447 bottlenecks, invasive monk parakeet populations in the U.S. and Spain show no signs of a 448 decline that might be attributable to inbreeding, with the possible exception of the Hyde Park population in Chicago (Pruett-Jones et al. 2012). Taken together, these findings suggest that 449 450 founder effects and/or genetic bottlenecks do not prohibit monk parakeet population 451 establishment, as has been observed in other invasive species (Dlugosch and Parker 2008). 452 Future work should extend the assessment of genetic bottlenecks and multiple source populations 453 to other regions of the invasive range to better understand population establishment in this 454 invasive parrot.

Additional processes that could influence invasive population establishment and spread remain understudied in monk parakeets. For instance, we know little about gene flow among invasive populations over local or broader geographic scales, which could ameliorate the negative effects of genetic bottlenecks by increasing genetic diversity (Dlugosch and Parker

459 2008). Most studies to date have conducted sampling at a broad geographic scale, limiting our ability to ask whether monk parakeet establishment has been influenced by gene flow among 460 461 neighboring invasive populations, or whether populations in parts of the invasive range (e.g. 462 Florida, U.S.) have spread by splitting off from a single successful population (i.e. invasive bridgehead effect). In that regard, Goncalves da Silva et al. (2010) used species-specific 463 464 microsatellites (Russello et al. 2007) to reconstruct a dispersal distance estimate of nearly 100km for invasive monk parakeets in Florida. While this estimate is high, it suggests that invasive 465 monk parakeets could establish new populations within 100km of an existing population. 466 467 Second, we do not know whether introduction through the global pet trade has led to sex-ratio 468 biases in invasive populations, which could impact establishment by altering population growth and/or patterns of genetic diversity. Finally, we know little about reproductive strategies in the 469 470 invasive range that could influence population establishment. For instance, extra-pair copulation 471 can alter the genetic diversity of a female's brood, and is known to be common across birds 472 (Jennions and Petrie 2000). Extra-pair copulation could work in tandem with standing genetic 473 variation of an invasive population and sex-ratio biases to impact establishment. Monk parakeets 474 nest colonially and communally, a strategy that provides many opportunities for extra-pair 475 copulation. A study of native monk parakeets in Córdoba, Argentina reported significant levels 476 of extra-pair paternity within broods, suggesting that monk parakeet nesting strategies are associated with copulation outside the social pair bond (Martínez et al. 2013). However, whether 477 478 this reproductive strategy impacts invasive monk parakeet establishment success remains an 479 open question.

480 A promising approach to assess the establishment and spread of invasive monk parakeet 481 populations is the reconstruction of invasion pathways. Such reconstructed pathways, based on

482 genetic data, are hypotheses about the invasion process that complement previous studies of 483 population genetic patterns. Invasion pathways encompass estimates of historic and recent 484 population sizes, bottleneck duration, and historic or recent admixture. Multiple hypotheses can 485 be tested per invasive population, allowing for the simultaneous evaluation of hypotheses with 486 different source populations and demographic processes. Recently developed analytical tools 487 facilitate hypothesis-testing using mitochondrial DNA or microsatellite markers (Estoup and Guillemaud 2010), as well as highly dimensional SNP markers that provide finer resolution of 488 489 individual genomes (Raynal et al. 2016; Smith et al. 2017). This research is currently ongoing 490 with monk parakeets, employing SNPs to identify source populations and potential demographic 491 and selection processes underlying invasive population establishment (Smith-Vidaurre et al. 492 unpublished data). Accounting for demographic processes that impact population establishment 493 is an important baseline to ask whether establishment has also been influenced by adaptation to 494 new selection pressures. Future research should extend these methods to more populations across 495 the invasive range, and evaluate patterns of spread by employing finer-scale geographic 496 sampling and methods such as the genetic directionality index (Peter and Slatkin 2013) to 497 identify whether new invasive populations are founded by long- or short-range dispersal from 498 existing populations (e.g. invasive bridgehead effect), versus independent colonization by 499 escaped or released birds from the pet trade.

Exciting and challenging directions for future research with monk parakeets include assessing gene flow and genetic similarity among invasive populations at local and broader geographic scales, extending such genetic studies to more areas across the invasive range, and testing hypotheses about adaptation to new selection pressures, which will shed light on candidate molecular markers and networks under selection following invasion. A recent study

505 with native monk parakeets identified high heritability of morphological traits, suggesting that 506 some traits, such as tarsus length and bill width, could be sensitive to new selection pressures in 507 the invasive range (Martínez et al. 2018). Cold tolerance may serve as an important selection 508 pressure underlying invasive population establishment and spread (Edelaar et al. 2015), as 509 suggested for rose-ringed parakeets (Jackson et al. 2015b). Gene networks or other molecular 510 markers associated with thermal tolerance are promising candidates for future research. As monk parakeets are recent invaders that have experienced relatively few generations of natural 511 512 selection, endeavors to study evolutionary responses in invasive populations should consider not 513 only changes in SNP allele frequencies as signatures of selection, but also changes in molecular 514 markers sensitive to environmental change over short timescales, such as transposable elements 515 and DNA methylation (Rey et al. 2016; Stapley et al. 2015).

516

517 Future Directions

518 Advances in genomic technologies now allow us to mine the genome at an unprecedented level 519 to enhance our understanding of fundamental questions in ecology and evolutionary biology, 520 including those related to invasion success. Although parrots in general have low environmental 521 and economic impacts relative to the most invasive species on the planet (e.g. kudzu, Pueraria 522 montana; Asian long-horned beetles, Anoplophora glabripennis; Asian carp, Cyprinus carpio; zebra mussels, Dreissena polymorpha), systems such as the monk parakeet and rose-ringed 523 524 parakeet may still provide opportunities for testing hypotheses related to invasion success, as 525 well as opportunities to evaluate genomics, epigenomics and genome editing as tools for more 526 effective management.

527 Since the seminal volume edited by Baker and Stebbins (1965), there has been much 528 debate over the primary sources of genetic variation important for invasion success: pre-existing 529 standing variation, new beneficial mutations, and/or introgression by way of hybridization of 530 naturally distinct lineages (reviewed in Bock et al. 2015). Invasive species, with their contrast 531 between 'baseline' source populations, and 'experimental' invasive populations, offer exciting opportunities to employ genomic approaches like population-wide reduced representation (e.g. 532 533 RADseq; Baird et al. 2008) or whole genome sequencing (e.g. Therkildsen and Palumbi 2017) to 534 determine the different sources of genetic variation at work. A host of studies have already begun 535 to harness genomic scans for detecting signatures of selection, differentiation, and hybridization 536 for identifying genes and linked regions associated with invasiveness (e.g. Prentis et al. 2008; Willoughby et al. 2018). These same genome-wide approaches also hold promise for improving 537 538 our understanding of genetic patterns in native range populations and how these change with 539 increasing rarity, population fragmentation, or environmental changes. As these processes are 540 also faced by invasive populations, genomic studies in the native range can provide a baseline for 541 understanding population history and informing invasive species management (Sjodin et al. 542 2020a; Sjodin et al. 2020b).

In addition to heritable genetic variation, there is increasing recognition that epigenetics can affect ecologically important traits, which may also play a role in invasion success (Hawes et al. 2018). Epigenetics is generally defined as the study of changes in organisms caused by modification of gene expression rather than alteration of the genetic code itself. In the context of invasive species, organisms that can successfully colonize and establish areas outside of their native range have been generally considered to have a high stress tolerance (Al Hassan et al. 2016; Serafini et al. 2011; Zerebecki and Sorte 2011), which may be associated with underlying

epigenetic processes. For example, a recent study in corrals found an association between levels of DNA methylation, the most commonly studied epigenetic change, and a measure of ocean acidification that is predicted to increase under various climate change scenarios (Putnam et al. 2016). Understanding the relative roles of genetic and epigenetic variation in invasion success will continue to be a fundamental question moving forward (Hawes et al. 2018).

555 Lastly, there has been much discussion in the literature and the popular press on the promise and pitfalls of emerging genome editing technologies; in terms of immediate 556 557 deployment outside of the laboratory, invasive species (and disease vectors) have featured 558 prominently (Burt 2003; Dearden et al. 2018). One such genome editing technique known as 559 Clustering Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeat (CRISPR) combined with CRISPR-560 associated Protein 9 (Cas9) has been developed as a driving mechanism that increases the 561 chances that the targeted genetic change will be inherited by offspring ("gene drive": Esvelt et al. 562 2014; Gantz et al. 2015). In the context of invasive species, application of this technology has 563 been actively considered for disrupting the sex determination process to bias offspring towards a 564 single sex as a means for heavily skewing sex ratios in order to promote negative population growth and, ultimately, extirpation (Alphey 2014; Deredec et al. 2008; Esvelt et al. 2014; Gantz 565 566 et al. 2015). While there is considerable scope for debate on the ethical implications and risks involved in deploying such technologies, the strong impact some invasive species have on their 567 568 surrounding environments suggest it would be wise to at least investigate their potential as 569 management tools.

570

571 Acknowledgments

572 The authors thank members of the Wright and Russello Labs for discussion of these ideas.

574 References

- 575 Al Hassan, M., Chaura, J., López-Gresa, M. P., Borsai, O., Daniso, E., Donat-Torres, M. P.,
- 576 Mayoral, O., Vicente, O., and Boscaiu, M. 2016. Native-invasive plants vs. halophytes in
- 577 Mediterranean salt marshes: stress tolerance mechanisms in two related species. Frontiers in
- 578 Plant Science 7: 473.
- 579 Alphey, L. 2014. Genetic control of mosquitos. Annual Review of Entomology 59: 205-224.
- 580 Baird, N. A., Etter, P. D., Atwood, T. S., Currey, M. C., Shiver, A. L., Lewis, Z. A., Selker, E.
- 581 U., Cresko, W. A., and Johnson, E. A. 2008. Rapid SNP discovery and genetic mapping
 582 using sequenced RAD markers. PLOS ONE 3: e3376.
- 583 Baker, H.G. and Stebbins, G. L., editors. International Union of Biological Sciences Symposia
 584 on General Biology. 1965 Asilomar, California.
- 585 Barker, B. S., Cocio, J. E., Anderson, S. R., Braasch, J. E., Cang, F. A., Gillette, H. D., and
- 586 Dlugosch, K. M. 2018. Potential limits to the benefits of admixture during biological
 587 invasion. Molecular Ecology 28: 100-113.
- Barrett, S. C. 2015. Foundations of invasion genetics: the Baker and Stebbins legacy. Molecular
 Ecology 24: 1927-1941.
- 590 Barrett, S. C. and Husband, B. C. 1990. The genetics of plant migration and colonization. In:
- 591 Brown, A. H. D., Clegg, M. T., Kahler, A. L., and Weir, B. S., editors. Plant population
- 592 genetics, breeding, and genetic resources. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates Inc. p. 254-593 277.
- Bertelsmeier, C. and Keller, L. 2018. Bridgehead effects and role of adaptive evolution in
 invasive populations. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 33: 527-534.
- Blackburn, T. M. and Duncan R. P. 2001. Determinants of establishment success in introduced
 birds. Nature 414: 195.
- 598 Bock, D. G., Caseys, C., Cousens, R. D., Hahn, M. A., Heredia, S. M., Hübner, S., Turner, K. G.,
- 599 Whitney, K. D., and Rieseberg, L. H. 2015. What we still don't know about invasion
- 600 genetics. Molecular Ecology 24: 2277-2297.

- Burt, A. 2003. Site-specific selfish genes as tools for the control and genetic engineering of
- natural populations. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences270: 921-928.
- 604 Caparroz, R., Martuscelli, P., Scherer-Neto, P., Miyaki, C., and Wajntal, A. 2006. Genetic
- 605 variability in the red-tailed amazon (Amazona brasiliensis, Psittaciformes) assessed by DNA

606 fingerprinting. Revista Brasileira de Ornitologia 14: 15-19.

- 607 Caparroz, R., Miyaki, C. Y., and Baker, A. J. 2009. Contrasting phylogeographic patterns in
- 608 mitochondrial DNA and microsatellites: evidence of female philopatry and male-biased 609 gene flow among regional populations of the blue-and-yellow macaw (Psittaciformes: *Ara*
- 610 *ararauna*) in Brazil. Auk 126: 359-370.
- 611 Caparroz, R., Seixas, G. H. F., Berkunsky, I., and Collevatti, R. G. 2009. The role of
- 612 demography and climatic events in shaping the phylogeography of *Amazona aestiva*
- 613 (Psittaciformes, Aves) and definition of management units for conservation. Diversity and
- 614 Distributions 15: 459-468.
- 615 Carlton, J. T. 1996. Pattern, process, and prediction in marine invasion ecology. Biological
 616 Conservation 78: 97-106.
- 617 Cassey, P., Blackburn, T. M., Russell, G. J., Jones, K. E., and Lockwood, J. L. 2004. Influences
- on the transport and establishment of exotic bird species: an analysis of the parrots

619 (Psittaciformes) of the world. Global Change Biology 10: 417-426.

- 620 Convention on the International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora. 1973. In.
- 621 27 U.S.T. 1087, T.I.A.S. No. 8249, 993 U.N.T.S. 243, ELR STAT. 40336
- 622 Cristescu, M. E. 2015. Genetic reconstructions of invasion history. Molecular Ecology 24: 2212623 2225.
- DAISIE European Invasive Alien Species Gateway. 2008. *Psittacula krameri*. Available from:
 www.europe-aliens.org/speciesFactsheet.do?speciesId=50460.
- Darling, J. A., Bagley, M. J., Roman, J., Tepolt, C. K., and Geller, J. B. 2008. Genetic patterns
 across multiple introductions of the globally invasive crab genus *Carcinus*. Molecular
 Ecology 17: 4992-5007.
- 629 Dearden, P. K., Gemmell, N. J., Mercier, O. R., Lester, P. J., Scott, M. J., Newcomb, R. D.,
- Buckley, T. R., Jacobs, J. M. E., Goldson, S. G., and Penman, D. R. 2018. The potential for

- the use of gene drives for pest control in New Zealand: a perspective. Journal of the Royal
 Society of New Zealand 48: 225-244.
- 633 Deredec, A., Burt, A., and Godfray, C. 2008. The population genetics of using homing
- endonuclease genes (HEGs) in vector and pest management. Genetics 17: 2013-2026.
- 635 Dlugosch, K. and Parker, I. 2008. Founding events in species invasions: genetic variation,
- adaptive evolution, and the role of multiple introductions. Molecular Ecology 17: 431-449.
- 637 Eberhard, J. R. and Bermingham, E. 2004. Phylogeny and biogeography of the Amazona

638 *ochrocephala* (Aves:Psittacidae) complex. Auk 121: 318-332.

- 639 Edelaar, P., Roques, S., Hobson, E. A., Gonçalves da Silva, A., Avery, M., Russello, M. A.,
- 640 Senar, J. C., Wright, T. F., Carrete, M., and Tella, J. L. 2015. Shared genetic diversity across

641 the global invasive range of the monk parakeet suggests a common restricted geographic

origin and the possibility of convergent selection. Molecular Ecology 24: 2164-2176.

Ehrlich, P. 1989. Attributes of invaders and the invading processes vertebrates. In: J.A. Drake et

- al., editors. Biological invasions: a global perspective. Chichester, UK: Wiley. p. 315-328.
- Estoup, A. and Guillemaud, T. 2010. Reconstructing routes of invasion using genetic data: why,
 how and so what? Molecular Ecology 19: 4113-4130.
- Esvelt, K. M., Smidler, A. L., Catteruccia, F., and Church, G. M. 2014. Emerging technology:
 concerning RNA-guided gene drives for the alteration of wild populations. Elife 3: e03401.

649 Ewart, K. M., Johnson, R. N., Ogden, R., Joseph, L., Frankham, G. J., and Lo, N. 2019. Museum

- specimens provide reliable SNP data for population genomic analysis of a widely distributed
 but threatened cockatoo species. Molecular Ecology Resources 19: 1578-1592.
- 652 Faria, P. J., Guedes, N. M. R., Yamashita, C., Martuscelli, P., and Miyaki, C. Y. 2008. Genetic
- 653 variation and population structure of the endangered hyacinth macaw (*Anodorhynchus*
- *hyacinthinus*): implications for conservation. Biodiversity and Conservation 17: 765-779.

655 Faria, P. J. and Miyaki, C. Y. 2006. Molecular markers for population genetic analyses in the

- family Psittacidae (Psittaciformes, Aves). Genetics and Molecular Biology 29: 231-240.
- Ficetola, G. F., Bonin, A., and Miaud, C. 2008. Population genetics reveals origin and number of
 founders in a biological invasion. Molecular Ecology 17: 773-782.
- 659 Gantz, V. M., Jasinskiene, N., Tatarenkova, O., Fazekas, A., Macias, V. M., Bier, E., and James,
- A. A. 2015. Highly efficient Cas9-mediated gene drive for population modification of the

- malaria vector mosquito *Anopheles stephensi*. Proceedings of the National Academy of
 Sciences 112: E6736-E6743.
- 663 Goncalves da Silva, A., Eberhard, J. R., Wright, T. F., Avery, M. L., and Russello, M. A. 2010.
- 664 Genetic evidence for high propagule pressure and long-distance dispersal in monk parakeet 665 (*Mviopsitta monachus*) invasive populations. Molecular Ecology 19: 3336-3350.
- Grapputo, A., Boman, S., Lindstroem, L., Lyytinen, A., and Mappes, J. 2005. The voyage of an
 invasive species across continents: genetic diversity of North American and European
- 668 Colorado potato beetle populations. Molecular Ecology 14: 4207-4219.
- 669 Hawes, N. A., Fidler, A. E., Tremblay, L. A., Pochon, X., Dunphy, B. J., and Smith, K. F. 2018.
- 670 Understanding the role of DNA methylation in successful biological invasions: a review.
- 671 Biological Invasions 20: 2285-2300.
- Hobson, E. A., Smith-Vidaurre, G., and Salinas-Melgoza, A. 2017. History of nonnative monk
 parakeets in Mexico. PLOS ONE 12: e0184771.
- Jackson, H., Jones, C. G., Agapow, P. M., Tatayah, V., and Groombridge, J. J. 2015a. Microevolutionary diversification among Indian Ocean parrots: temporal and spatial changes in
 phylogenetic diversity as a consequence of extinction and invasion. Ibis 157: 496-510.
- Jackson, H., Strubbe, D., Tollington, S., Prys-Jones, R., Matthysen, E., and Groombridge, J. J.
- 678 2015b. Ancestral origins and invasion pathways in a globally invasive bird correlate with
 679 climate and influences from bird trade. Molecular Ecology 24: 4269-4285.
- Jennions, M. D. and Petrie, M. 2000. Why do females mate multiply? A review of the genetic
 benefits. Biological Reviews 75: 21-64.
- 582 Joseph, L. 2014. Perspectives from parrots on biological invasions. In: Prins, H. and Gordon, I.,
- 683 editors. Invasion Biology and Ecological Theory: Insights from a Continent in
- 684 Transformation. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p. 58-82.
- 685 Keller, S. and Taylor, D. 2010. Genomic admixture increases fitness during a biological
- 686 invasion. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 23: 1720-1731.
- Kolbe, J. J., Glor, R. E., Schettino, L. R., Lara, A. C., Larson, A., and Losos, J. B. 2004. Genetic
 variation increases during biological invasion by a Cuban lizard. Nature 431: 177.
- 689 Leite, K. C. E., Seixas, G. H. F., Berkunsky, I., Collevatti, R. G., and Caparroz, R. 2008.
- 690 Population genetic structure of the blue-fronted amazon (*Amazona aestiva*, Psittacidae:

- Aves) based on nuclear microsatellite loci: implications for conservation. Genetics and
 Molecular Research 7: 819-829.
- 693 Lever, C. 2005. Naturalized Birds of the World. London, UK: T & AD Poyser.
- Lockwood, J. L., Cassey, P., and Blackburn, T. 2005. The role of propagule pressure in
 explaining species invasions. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 20: 223-228.
- Lombaert, E., Guillemaud, T., Cornuet, J. M., Malausa, T., Facon, B., and Estoup, A. 2010.
 Bridgehead effect in the worldwide invasion of the biocontrol harlequin ladybird. PLOS
 ONE 5: e9743.
- 699 Mack, R. N., Simberloff, D., Lonsdale W. M., Evans, H., Clout, M., and Bazzaz, F. A. 2000.
- Biotic invasions: causes, epidemiology, global consequences, and control. Ecological
 Applications 10: 689-710.
- Martínez, J. J., de Aranzamendi, M.C., and Bucher, E. H. 2018. Quantitative genetics in the
 monk parakeet (*Myiopsitta monachus*) from central Argentina: estimation of heritability and
 maternal effects on external morphological traits. PLOS ONE 13: e0201823.
- Martínez, J. J., de Aranzamendi, M. C., Masello, J. F., and Bucher, E. H. 2013. Genetic evidence
 of extra-pair paternity and intraspecific brood parasitism in the monk parakeet. Frontiers in
 Zoology 10: 68.
- Masello, J. F., Quillfeldt, P., Munimanda, G. K., Klauke, N., Segelbacher, G., Schaefer, H. M.,
 Failla, M., Cortes, M., and Moodley, Y. 2011. The high Andes, gene flow and a stable
- hybrid zone shape the genetic structure of a wide-ranging South American parrot. Frontiers
 in Zoology 8: 16.
- 712 Milian-Garcia, Y., Jensen, E. L., Madsen, J., Alonso, S. A., Rodriguez, A. S., Lopez, G. E., and
- 713 Russello, M. A. 2015. Founded: genetic reconstruction of lineage diversity and kinship
- 714 informs *ex situ* conservation of Cuban amazon parrots (*Amazona leucocephala*). Journal of
- 715 Heredity 106: 573-579.
- Miller, N., Estoup, A., Toepfer, S., Bourguet, D., Lapchin, L., Derridj, S., Kim, K. S., Reynaud,
 P., Furlan, L., and Guillemaud, T. 2005. Multiple transatlantic introductions of the western
 corn rootworm. Science 310: 992-992.
- 719 Murphy, S. A., Joseph, L., Burbidge, A. H., and Austin, J. 2011. A cryptic and critically
- rendangered species revealed by mitochondrial DNA analyses: the western ground parrot.
- 721 Conservation Genetics 12: 595-600.

- Nei, M., Maruyama, T., and Chakraborty, R. 1975. The bottleneck effect and genetic variability
 in populations. Evolution 29: 1-10.
- Olah, G., Smith, A. L., Asner, G. P., Brightsmith, D. J., Heinsohn, R. G., and Peakall, R. 2017.
 Exploring dispersal barriers using landscape genetic resistance modelling in scarlet macaws
- of the Peruvian Amazon. Landscape Ecology 32: 445-456.
- Peter, B. M. and Slatkin, M. 2013. Detecting range expansions from genetic data. Evolution 67:
 3274-3289.
- Prentis, P. J., Wilson, J. R., Dormontt, E. E., Richardson, D. M., and Lowe, A. J. 2008. Adaptive
 evolution in invasive species. Trends in Plant Science 13: 288-294.
- 731 Presti, F. T., Guedes, N. M. R., Antas, P. T. Z., and Miyaki, C. Y. 2015. Population genetic
- structure in hyacinth macaws (*Anodorhynchus hyacinthinus*) and identification of the
 probable origin of confiscated individuals. Journal of Heredity 106: 491-502.
- 734 Pruett-Jones, S., Appelt, C. W., Sarfaty, A., Van Vossen, B., Leibold, M. A., and Minor, E. S.
- 2012. Urban parakeets in Northern Illinois: a 40-year perspective. Urban Ecosystems 15:
 736 709-719.
- 737 Putnam, H. M., Davidson, J. M., and Gates, R. D. 2016. Ocean acidification influences host
- 738 DNA methylation and phenotypic plasticity in environmentally susceptible corals.
- 739 Evolutionary Applications 9: 1165-1178.
- 740 Raisin, C., Frantz, A. C., Kundu, S., Greenwood, A. G., Jones, C. G., Zuel, N., and
- Groombridge, J. J. 2012. Genetic consequences of intensive conservation management for
 the Mauritius parakeet. Conservation Genetics 13: 707-715.
- Raynal, L., Marin, J. M., Pudlo, P., Ribatet, M., Robert, C. P., and Estoup, A. 2016. ABC
 random forests for Bayesian parameter inference. ArXiv: 1605.05537.
- 745 Rey, O., Danchin, E., Mirouze, M., Loot, C., and Blanchet, S. 2016. Adaptation to global
- change: a transposable element–epigenetics perspective. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 31:
 514-526.
- Russello, M. and Amato, G. 2004. *Ex situ* population management in the absence of pedigree
 information. Molecular Ecology 13: 2829-2840.
- 750 Russello, M., Stahala, C., Lalonde, D., Schmidt, K., and Amato, G. 2010. Cryptic diversity and
- 751 conservation units in the Bahama parrot. Conservation Genetics 11: 1809-1821.

- Russello, M. A., Avery, M. L., and Wright, T. F. 2008. Genetic evidence links invasive monk
 parakeet populations in the United States to the international pet trade. BMC Evolutionary
 Biology 8: 217.
- 755 Russello, M. A., Saranathan, V., Buhrman-Deever, S., Eberhard, J., and Caccone, A. 2007.
- 756 Characterization of polymorphic microsatellite loci for the invasive monk parakeet

757 (*Myiopsitta monachus*). Molecular Ecology Notes 7: 990-992.

Sakai, A. K., Allendorf, F. W., Holt, J. S., Lodge, D. M., Molofsky, J., With, K. A., Baughman,
S., Cabin, R. J., Cohen, J. E., and Ellstrand, N. C. 2001. The population biology of invasive

species. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 32: 305-332.

761 Schrey, A. W., Grispo, M., Awad, M., Cook, M. B., McCoy, E. D., Mushinsky, H. R., Albayrak,

762 T., Bensch, S., Burke, T., and Butler, L. K. 2011. Broad-scale latitudinal patterns of genetic

diversity among native European and introduced house sparrow (*Passer domesticus*)

- populations. Molecular Ecology 20: 1133-1143.
- Serafini, L., Hann, J. B., Kültz, D., and Tomanek, L. 2011. The proteomic response of sea squirts
 (genus *Ciona*) to acute heat stress: a global perspective on the thermal stability of proteins.
- 767 Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part D: Genomics and Proteomics 6: 322-334.
- 768 Sjodin, B.M. F., Irvine, R. L., Ford, A. T., Howald, G. R., and Russello, M. A. 2020a. Rattus
- population genomics across the Haida Gwaii archipelago provides a framework for guiding
 invasive species management. Evolutionary Applications 13: 889–904.
- Sjodin, B.M. F., Irvine, R. L., and Russello, M. 2020b. RapidRat: Development, validation and
 application of a genotyping-by-sequencing panel for rapid biosecurity and invasive species
 management. PLOS ONE 15: e0234694.
- Simberloff, D. 2009. The role of propagule pressure in biological invasions. Annual Review of
 Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 40: 81-102.
- 576 Smith, M. L., Ruffley, M., Espíndola, A., Tank, D. C., Sullivan, J., and Carstens, B. C. 2017.
- 777 Demographic model selection using random forests and the site frequency spectrum.
- 778 Molecular Ecology 26: 4562-4573.
- 779 Stapley, J., Santure, A. W., and Dennis, S. R. 2015. Transposable elements as agents of rapid
- adaptation may explain the genetic paradox of invasive species. Molecular Ecology 24:
- 781 2241-2252.

- Stojanovic, D., Olah, G., Webb, M., Peakall, R., and Heinsohn, R. 2018. Genetic evidence
 confirms severe extinction risk for critically endangered swift parrots: implications for
 conservation management. Animal Conservation 21: 313-323.
- Strubbe, D. and Matthysen, E. 2009. Establishment success of invasive ring-necked and monk
 parakeets in Europe. Journal of Biogeography 36: 2264-2278.
- Therkildsen, N. O. and Palumbi, S. R. 2017. Practical low-coverage genomewide sequencing of
 hundreds of individually barcoded samples for population and evolutionary genomics in
 nonmodel species. Molecular Ecology Resources 17: 194-208.
- 790 Wenner, T. J., Russello, M. A., and Wright, T. F. 2012. Cryptic species in a Neotropical parrot:
- 791 genetic variation within the *Amazona farinosa* species complex and its conservation
- implications. Conservation Genetics 13: 1427-1432.
- White, K. L., Eason, D. K., Jamieson, I. G., and Robertson, B. C. 2015. Evidence of inbreeding
 depression in the critically endangered parrot, the kakapo. Animal Conservation 18: 341-
- 795 347.
- White, N. E., Bunce, M., Mawson, P. R., Dawson, R., Saunders, D. A., and Allentoft, M. E.
- 797 2014. Identifying conservation units after large-scale land clearing: a spatio-temporal
- molecular survey of endangered white-tailed black cockatoos (*Calyptorhynchus spp.*).
 Diversity and Distributions 20: 1208-1220.
- 800 Willoughby, J. R., Harder, A. M., Tennessen, J. A., Scribner, K. T., and Christie, M. R. 2018.
- Rapid genetic adaptation to a novel environment despite a genome-wide reduction in genetic
 diversity. Molecular Ecology 27: 4041-4051.
- Wright, T. F., Rodriguez, A. M., and Fleischer, R. C. 2005. Vocal dialect, sex-biased dispersal
 and microsatellite population structure in the parrot *Amazona auropalliata*. Molecular
 Ecology 14: 1197-1205.
- Wright, T. F. and Wilkinson, G. S. 2001. Population genetic structure and vocal dialects in an
 amazon parrot. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences 268:
 609-616.
- 809 Zerebecki, R. A. and Sorte, C. J. 2011. Temperature tolerance and stress proteins as mechanisms
- 810 of invasive species success. PLOS ONE 6: e14806.
- 811

812 Figure Legends

Figure 1. Distribution of mitochondrial DNA variation across the native and invasive ranges of 813 814 rose-ringed parakeets (*Psittacula krameria*). A. Pie charts represent the approximate locations 815 sampled in the Asia and African native ranges. Different colors relate to different haplotypes. B. 816 Pie charts represent the frequency of native mitochondrial DNA haplotypes detected within each 817 invasive population across Europe. C. Pie charts represent the frequency of native mitochondrial DNA haplotypes detected within each invasive population in Mauritius and Sevchelles. Black 818 819 proportions indicate mitochondrial DNA haplotypes detected in invasive populations that were 820 not detected in the native range. D. Bayesian clustering plot based on microsatellite genotypic 821 data collected in the native range in Africa and Asia, and the invasive range in Europe. Modified 822 from Figures 4 and 5 of Jackson et al. (2015b). Rose-ringed Parakeet image used under CC BY-823 SA 2.0 (Imran Shah /Flickr).

824

Figure 2. Distribution of mitochondrial DNA variation across the native and invasive ranges of 825 826 monk parakeets (Myiopsitta monachus). A. Distribution of sampled populations across the entire 827 native range (indicated by the blue dotted line). B. Location of sampled populations in the USA. 828 C. Location of sampled populations in Spain. Wild-caught birds sampled in pet shops (a stage 829 between uptake and potential introduction) do not have a location. D. Haplotype frequencies in each population, with the size of each bubble being proportional to the number of individuals a 830 831 population with that particular haplotype. E. Bayesian clustering plot based on microsatellite genotypic data collected in the northern and southern native range in South America and the 832 833 invasive range in Spain and the USA. Modified from Figures 2 and 4 of (Edelaar et al. 2015). 834 monk parakeet image used under CC BY 2.0 (TANAKA Juuyoh /Flickr).